

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

1	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
٠	10/040 370	01/00/2002	D-+-1-1-14-1111-4	02006-0522	6070

.

03/26/2003

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

	· .	
	EXAMINER	•
BALASUBRA	MANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1624

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
F et		10/040,370	MAILLIET ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624					
<u>.                                  </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address					
Dariad fo	r Reply							
THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. The (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.					
	ion of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	<b>n.</b>						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• •						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applica	tion Papers		$\mathbf{e}_{i} = \mathbf{e}_{i} + \mathbf{e}_{i}$					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.	eminer :					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	See 37 CER 1 85/a)					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be neid in abeyance.	proved by the Examiner.					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapp	notod by the Englisher.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
I	] The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	zxaminer.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		)(a) (d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	g(a)-(u) or (i).					
	a)							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	Was No					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applic	ation No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been	received.					
Attachm								
1) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) offormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/040,370

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-31 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-31, drawn to compound of general formula shown in claim 1 wherein the distribution agent is a triazine or formula I, composition and method of use, classified in class 544, subclasses 180, 196, class 514, subclasses 241, 242.
- II. Claims 1-7 and 27-31, drawn to compound of general formula shown in claim 1 wherein the distribution agent is a pyrimidine or quinazoline, composition and method of use, classified in class 544 subclasses 283, 284, class 514, subclasses 256, 258.1.
- III. Claims 1, 4-7, and 27-31, drawn to compound of general formula shown in claim 1 wherein the distribution agent is not a triazine or diazine and the nitrogen containing aromatic ring is quinoline or pyridine, composition and method of use, classified in class 546 subclasses 152, 268.1, class 514, subclasses 311, 345.
- IV. Claims 1-2, 4-, and 27-31, drawn to compound of general formula shown in claim 1 wherein the distribution agent is a pyrimidine or quinazoline, and the nitrogen containing aromatic ring is not quinoline or pyridine, composition and method of use, classified in classes various subclasses various depending upon the choice of nitrogen containing group and the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,370

Art Unit: 1624

distribution group. If group IV is elected applicants should elect a specific nitrogen containing aromatic ring and a specific distribution group for examination.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I, II, III, and IV are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common core namely isomeric triazines versus pyrimidne or quinazoline versus isomeric diazines versus pyridine or quinoline versus nitrogen-containing aromatic groups. Consequently, the groups have different classifications and require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art, which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the same for the other group. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group. In addition, it is necessary to classify and search all the hetero cores and such a search of all cores would serious search burden given the limited time available for each application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: quinoline, pyridine, pyrimidine and triazine. Searching all these groups is a serious search burden

Application/Control Number: 10/040,370

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-31 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In view of distinct nature of each invention, a restriction is set forth in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703) 305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Balasubramanian Venkataraman Balasubramanian

03/23/2003